

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/304,035	05/03/1999	GIORGIO J. VANZINI	MS1-254US	9156
22801 7	590 11/15/2002			
LEE & HAYES PLLC			EXAMINER	
421 W RIVER SPOKANE, W	SIDE AVENUE SUITE A 99201	: 500	KIM, AHSHIK	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2876	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>#</i> 2
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/304,035	VANZINI ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ahshik Kim	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	ears n the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandment which	ation. A proper reply high places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final (opriate extension Office action: or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S .
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT	place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 22-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $___$ is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examin	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	$ \mathcal{M}$	
0. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		MICHAEL G. LEE NOISORY PATENT EXA CHNOLOGY CENTER 2	3
Patent and Trademark Office			· .

€ Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 009/304,035

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Examiner appreciates the applicant for further limiting the claims. However, newly added element "physically sized in a form factor of PCMCIA card" in claims 1 and 22 raises a new issue which require further search and consideration by the Examiner.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-6 and 22-26 remain rejected as set forth in the final Offcie Action (paper #13).